


## Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 378163
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
<b>Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%)</b> To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic	<b>Borderline Very Good</b>	There is clearly an intrinsic knowledge of the subject and the content does reflect a cross-range of topics that connect with the subject title. There is some use of citation through the content that denotes theory, and so theory and practice do connect in the summative. However, spend more time in future thoroughly underpinning where the theory is coming from.
<b>Criticality (30%)</b> To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic	<b>Satisfactory</b>	There is some discussion around limitations and positives of the research you have engaged with, but a lot of the content interprets research as opposed to analysing and evaluating research to develop critical debate. You are evaluating research papers, but there needs to be more analysis in future to allow you to compare research points that come from opposing views on the points of discussion. This is how you build critical writing, looking at the pros and cons of the topic you are engaging with, from multiple different authors perspective. A satisfactory outcome.
<b>Use of relevant sources (20%)</b> To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature	<b>Satisfactory</b>	Research is applied through the content and there are different citations, but then there are repetitive citations used in several paragraphs. Given your list of references you need to mix your citations up more from different authors to develop a more critical approach to research. There are several topics discussed that connect with the title, but the research should be more focused and polarised around very core themes that connect with the title so that a deep dive into research can take place. A satisfactory outcome.
<b>Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	The content of the assignment is laid out to an academic standard, however the way the assignment is structured, is convoluted. The way that the assignment is structured has meant there are some repetitive elements in the content, and so a tendency to say similar things but in a different way. For future, try to plan your research strategy a little more and



<p>To showcase your research and writing skills.</p>		<p>then this will help with a more focused and less repetitive tone to your academic writing, and also allow a more structured academically productive use of word count in your sub sections to answer the summative question. A satisfactory outcome.</p>
<p><b>Academic integrity (10%)</b> To showcase your research and writing skills.</p>	<p><b>Satisfactory</b></p>	<p>Avoid overly emotive phrases, for example; '<i>It is a guiding light, illuminating future research and implementation efforts in technology-enhanced learning and providing a practical roadmap for confidently navigating this evolving landscape</i>'. When you are presenting a piece of research to a viewer of your work, it needs to be completely unbiased and objective. From the feedback here then, you will see why the excerpt above would not reflect wholly academic writing. You need to consider this point moving forward when completing work in future. Use your word count to get to the point in a concise and focused manner. For example; Section 2 – the first paragraph tends to be wordy, and the syntax could have been more focused to get to the point sooner. When you have a lengthy paragraph, for example paragraph 2 in section 2, you need more than one citation at the beginning because there are several facts discussed in that one paragraph. There is an appropriate amount of references in your long reference list, but then through some parts of the assignment there is an overreliance on using one citation concurrently through certain paragraphs. Mix your citations up, particularly given the list of references that you have at the end of the Literature Review. Regarding your academic writing style, keep this focused at all times and avoid emotive words. Ensure that you cite regularly all the way through your content. A satisfactory outcome.</p>
<p>Overall</p>		
<p><b>Positives:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some good intrinsic knowledge of the subject</li> <li>• Some theoretical application of the content</li> <li>• The subjects used linked to your topic title</li> </ul>		
<p><b>Points for development:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Narrow down the focus on future summative of this nature</li> <li>• This will allow you to better plan your content and approach to research</li> <li>• Mix your research references up more, and cite at every fact based opportunity</li> </ul>		

