

## **Tutor's feedback - Assessment: Development Team Project: Project Report**

**Grade:** 62.2 % (Merit)

**Graded by:** Dr Doug Millward

### **Feedback comments:**

The group provided an interesting narrative style for the report. There was a good description of the methodology adopted - but I would have liked more discussion/ explanation about why it was chosen. Requirements were well explained - with justifications - but the Gherkin examples were quite trivial although the syntax was correct.

The plan was well laid out, and reasonably well structured. However, there was no explanation or detail around the costings, and no detail about build costs, design costs, testing costs, or even how the time scales were achieved. There was also no use of standard PM tools (e.g. Gantt charts, wbs, etc) Overall this section did not meet the assessment requirement.

In the second section, there was a noticeable lack of detail around design times, test times, etc - and particularly around how the time scales were estimated. The testing estimates in particular were a stated deliverable - although the actual phases were well explained.

The report was well presented, although as discussed above most of the standard PM tools/ artifacts were NOT used. The narrative style was good, and the use of references was appreciated. A reasonable set of references was provided.

Overall, this started out as a promising report with an interesting and engaging narrative style. Unfortunately, it failed to live up to its promise by missing out key

deliverables (including a Gantt chart) and any real evidence of cost calculations and estimates. A disappointing final result.