

Tutor's feedback

Outcome: 66 % (Merit)

Graded by Dr Stelios Sotiriadis

Overall Comments:

Overall a good effort but you did not follow the instructions on submitting a report. The work is not well-documented with examples and test cases. Good logic using for and if loop, comments could be further used to explain and outputs are shown.

Code is good in terms of implementation, however better use of object-oriented programming concepts, or functional aspects was expected.

In general, you did good work, especially in the report.

The report is should include a more detailed discussions and analysis; you have used enough references in the code. A good strategy is taken to implement the logic. Test strategy could be described in detail and comments are used. Better use of lists and other datastructures could be included. The test results are correct.

Overall the coding is good in terms of libraries and Python data structures. Code implements the correct logic and good use of for and if. Variables are defined correctly. Very good use of visualisations in the report.

Knowledge and understanding of the Python Code:

A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding, displaying some originality and in-depth understanding in all key areas of knowledge relevant to the work. The quality of code looks appropriate. However, the functionality of the code could be described better. The testing and validation could have been better.

Application of knowledge and understanding

A good demonstration of the application of knowledge and understanding to address the learning outcomes assessed by the assignment and/or application to professional practice or real-world applicability.

Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance)

A better structure and presentation, to show elements of presentation and structure at a professional or publishable standard was expected.

Academic integrity

A good demonstration of academic writing, including correct use of academic conventions, citations and/or referencing with only minor omissions. Work shows broadly accurate attempts, and minor odd weaknesses of conforming with institutional guidance have been noted.

Thank you, Stelios